

MILLER BROWN & DANNIS
SYMPHONY TOWERS
750 B STREET, SUITE 2310
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

1 SUE ANN SALMON EVANS, State Bar No. 151562
sevans@mbdlaw.com
2 AMY R. LEVINE, State Bar No. 160743
alevine@mbdlaw.com
3 SARAH L. W. SUTHERLAND, State Bar No. 239889
ssutherland@mbdlaw.com
4 MILLER BROWN & DANNIS
Symphony Towers
5 750 B Street, Suite 2310
San Diego, CA 92101
6 Telephone: (619) 595-0202
Facsimile: (619) 702-6202
7

8 Attorneys for Defendant SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 T.B., Allison Brenneise and Robert
13 Brenneise,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 San Diego Unified School District,

17 Defendant.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 08 CV 0028 WQH WMc

**DEFENDANT SAN DIEGO UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT'S REQUEST FOR
JUDICIAL NOTICE**

Date: May 12, 2008

Time: 11:00 a.m.

Dept.: 4

Judge: Hon. William Q. Hayes

Complaint Filed: January 4, 2008

**NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS
REQUESTED BY THE COURT**

MILLER BROWN & DANNIS
SYMPHONY TOWERS
750 B STREET, SUITE 2310
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, Defendant San Diego Unified School District (“District”) hereby requests that the court take judicial notice of the following documents:

- (A) Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff T.B. in *T.B. v. San Diego Unified School District*, Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) Case No. N2007110255; and
- (B) Analysis of Comments and Changes to 2006 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Part B Regulations, 71 Fed. Reg. 46602 (August 14, 2006).

On March 12, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Dismiss in an administrative due process matter entitled *T.B. v. San Diego Unified School District*, OAH Case No. N2007110255, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. “A court may take judicial notice of ‘records and reports of administrative bodies.’” (*Mack v. Southbay Beer Distributors, Inc.*, 789 F.2d 1279, 1282 (9th Cir. 1986) [quoting *Interstate Nat. Gas. Co. v. S. Cal. Gas. Co.*, 209 F.2d 380, 385 (9th Cir. 1953); see also *MGIC Indemnity Corporation v. Weisman*, 803 F.3d 500, 504 (9th Cir. 1986) [court took judicial notice of a motion to dismiss and declaration filed in a declaratory judgment suit]; *Disabled Rights Action Committee v. Las Vegas Events, Inc.*, 375 F.3d 861, 866 (9th Cir. 2004) [court took judicial notice of licensing agreements that were documents of a State University System]; *Fadaie v. Alaska Airlines, Inc.*, 293 F.Supp.2d 1210, 1215 (W.D. Wash. 2003) [“Administrative complaints and agency decisions are the type of public records that are properly the subject of judicial notice”].)

On August 14, 2006 the United States Department of Education (“DOE”) issued its Final Regulations to the Reauthorized Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 *et seq.* As part of the Final Regulations, the DOE included an “Analysis of Comments and Changes” to the Regulations. As part of this Analysis, the DOE commented on whether parents may be reimbursed for attorneys’ fees they incurred in filing a State complaint against a school district. A copy of said comments is attached hereto as Exhibit B. “A court may take judicial notice of ‘records and reports of administrative bodies.’” (*See Mack*, 789 F.2d at 1282 (9th Cir. 1986) [quotation omitted].)

//

1 Accordingly, the District respectfully requests request that the Court take judicial notice
2 of the Motion to Dismiss and the Analysis of Comments and Changes, set forth in Exhibits A
3 and B.

4 DATED: April 3, 2008

MILLER BROWN & DANNIS

6 By: /sAmy R. Levine
7 SUE ANN SALMON EVANS
8 AMY R. LEVINE
9 SARAH L. W. SUTHERLAND
10 Attorneys for Defendant
11 SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MILLER BROWN & DANNIS
SYMPHONY TOWERS
750 B STREET, SUITE 2310
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101